

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

Atty/ CC-36-1459  
Dkt.

C# M#

HOLLIER et al

TC/A.U. 2625

Serial No. 09/889,041

Examiner: S. Perungavoor

Filed: July 11, 2001

Date: January 31, 2007

Title: ANALYSIS OF VIDEO SIGNAL QUALITY

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**RESPONSE/AMENDMENT/LETTER**

This is a response/amendment/letter in the above-identified application and includes an attachment which is hereby incorporated by reference and the signature below serves as the signature to the attachment in the absence of any other signature thereon.

☐ **Correspondence Address Indication Form Attached.****Fees are attached as calculated below:**

Total effective claims after amendment **20** minus highest number  
previously paid for **20** (at least 20) = 0 x \$50.00 \$0.00 (1202)/\$0.00 (2202) \$

Independent claims after amendment **2** minus highest number  
previously paid for **3** (at least 3) = 0 x \$200.00 \$0.00 (1201)/\$0.00 (2201) \$

If proper multiple dependent claims now added for first time, (ignore improper); add  
\$360.00 (1203)/\$180.00 (2203) \$

Petition is hereby made to extend the current due date so as to cover the filing date of this  
paper and attachment(s)  
One Month Extension \$120.00 (1251)/\$60.00 (2251)  
Two Month Extensions \$450.00 (1252)/\$225.00 (2252)  
Three Month Extensions \$1020.00 (1253)/\$510.00 (2253)  
Four Month Extensions \$1590.00 (1254)/\$795.00 (2254)  
Five Month Extensions \$2160.00 (1255)/\$1080.00 (2255) \$

Terminal disclaimer enclosed, add \$130.00 (1814)/\$65.00 (2814) \$

☐ Applicant claims "small entity" status. ☐ Statement filed herewith

Rule 56 Information Disclosure Statement Filing Fee \$180.00 (1806) \$ 0.00

Assignment Recording Fee \$40.00 (8021) \$ 0.00

Other: \$ 0.00

**TOTAL FEE ENCLOSED \$ 0.00**

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, in the fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed in this application by this firm) to our Account No. 14-1140. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor  
Arlington, Virginia 22203-1808  
Telephone: (703) 816-4000  
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100  
CC:sljNIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.  
By Atty: Chris Comuntzis, Reg. No. 31,097Signature: 



THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

HOLLIER et al

Atty. Ref.: 36-1459; Confirmation No. 6105

Appl. No. 09/889,041

TC/A.U. 2625

Filed: July 11, 2001

Examiner: S. Perungavoor

For: ANALYSIS OF VIDEO SIGNAL QUALITY

\* \* \* \* \*

January 31, 2007

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## RESPONSE

In response to the Office Action dated October 31, 2006, applicant offers the following remarks.

### REMARKS

Claims 1-20 stand in the present application. Reconsideration and favorable action is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

In the Office Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-7 and 12-18 under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by NPL document entitled "Committee T1 Performance Standards Contribution: Objective and Subjective Measures of MPEG Video Quality"(hereinafter the "T1 reference"). Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's §102 rejection of the claims.